

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/817,092  | 03/31/2004  | Paul A. Knight       | ISOT-092            | 2129             |
| 65215 7590 02/03/2009<br>NEUSTEL LAW OFFICES, LTD.<br>2534 SOUTH UNIVERSITY DRIVE |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | DUONG, THO V        |                  |
| SUITE 4<br>FARGO, ND 5  | 58103       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3744                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     | -                |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 02/03/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  KNIGHT ET AL.          |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Nation of Albandanasan   | 10/817,092   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner   | Art Unit                             |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tho v. Duong   | 3744                                 |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c                         | orrespondence address                |  |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| ⊠ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of)                       | ailing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u>                             |  |  |  |  |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does r  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection<br>application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed<br>Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C                                 | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of                     |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| (d) No reply has been received.  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and<br/>from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8)</li> </ol>   |  | the statutory period of three months |  |  |  |  |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was, which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85).  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance   | of \$ is due.  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   | t been received.   |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required.</li> <li>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>   | ired by, and within the three-month p                      | period set in, the Notice of         |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on<br>after the expiration of the period for reply.  | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran                     | smission dated), which is            |  |  |  |  |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>  | attorney or agent (acting in a repres                      | entative capacity under 37 CFR       |  |  |  |  |
| 5 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review<br>of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.                   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 7. ☐ The reason(s) below:  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |

/Tho v Duong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)